1

Case 2:17-cv-02932-RFB-EJY Document 38 Filed 08/07/20 Page 2 of 2

1	response on the merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims
2	clearly lacking merit. If Respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2):
3	(a) they must do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they must
4	specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in
5	Cassett v. Stewart, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses,
6	including exhaustion, may be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses,
7	including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.
8	IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that, in any answer filed on the merits, Respondents must
9	specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record
10	materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.
11	IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that, notwithstanding Local Rule LR IC 2-2(g), paper copies
12	of any electronically filed exhibits need not be provided to chambers or to the staff attorney, unless
13	later directed by the court.

DATED: August 6, 2020.

United States District Judge